

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-24-006054

GEORGE J. WAKILEH, PH.D.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF TEXAS, GRAND LAKES
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

201ST DISTRICT COURT

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On this day, the Court considered this case.

The Court finds that the Plaintiff, George J. Waklieh, Ph.D., has filed the following into the

Clerk's record:

1. September 9, 2024 – Plaintiffs Original Petition
2. October 7, 2024 – Copy of the Entire Record of the Proceeding Subject of the Judicial Review
3. October 7, 2024 – The PUCT's Questions to Plaintiffs Regarding Organization
4. October 22, 2024 – Service on the MUD – Register of Actions – Service Events
5. October 24, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Rule 12 Motion Filed by Defendant MUD
6. November 12, 2024 – Formal Complaint: Violations, arbitrariness, bias, and prejudice by judicial assistant Shannon Mausek-Steele of the 459th District Court
7. November 12, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Notice of Remote Appearance Under TRCP 21d
8. November 12, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Discovery Request No. 1 to Defendant Grant Lakes Municipal Utility District No. 2
9. November 13, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Transfer Venue Under TRCP 257
10. November 13, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Complaint: Violations Committed by Judicial Assistant Shannon Mausek-Steele
11. November 15, 2024 - Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel PUCT's Disclosure of Evidence – No. 1
12. November 15, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel PUCT's Disclosure of Evidence – No. 2
13. November 18, 2024 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendant MUD's Disclosure of Evidence
14. November 18, 2024 – Petitioner List

15. November 19, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Continuance of the Court Hearing Scheduled for December 4, 2024
16. November 19, 2024 – Unlawful Local Rules – Plaintiffs’ Objections to Court’s Requirements
17. November 25, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to the MUD’s Rule 91a Motion and Rule 60 Motion
18. November 25, 2024 – The Entire Record of the Proceeding Subject of the Judicial Review
19. November 25, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to PUCT’s Response to Motion to Transfer Venue
20. November 25, 2024 – Complaint: Travis County District Courts: Local Rules breach the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Texas Rules of Judicial Administration, and Region 3 Local Rules; Further Administrative Review per Texas Rules of Judicial Administration 10(f)
21. November 25, 2024 – Unwarranted local rules by the 459th District Court of Travis County
22. November 26, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to PUCT’s Response to Motions to Compel
23. November 27, 2024 – Plaintiff’s Motion to Transfer the Action to the 15th Court of Appeals Pursuant to APA §2001.176(c)
24. December 2, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Rebuttal of the MUD’s Reply Regarding the Rule 12 Motion
25. December 2, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to Defendant MUD’s Response to Motion for Continuance
26. December 3, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Complaint to Local Administrative Judge Travis County District Courts’ Unequal Treatment of Parties
27. December 3, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Request to the Court Regarding the December 4, 2024 Hearing
28. December 4, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Comments to the MUD’s Fraudulent Plan and Proposed Order
29. December 4, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Exhibit List for the December 4, 2024 Hearing
30. December 4, 2024 – Order
31. December 6, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Motion Requesting Permission to Appeal Under TRCP 168
32. December 6, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Motion Requesting Stay of the Court’s Order of December 5, 2024 and Further Proceedings; Plaintiffs’ Motion to Reconsider, Modify, Correct, Reform, or Withdraw the Court’s Order of December 5, 2024
33. December 9, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw the Court’s Order of December 6, 2024
34. December 9, 2024 – Continued Violations by Judicial Assistant Shannon Matusek-Steele
35. December 10, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to MUD’s Response to a Discovery Request; Plaintiffs’ Objections to the MUD’s Motion for Protective Order
36. December 12, 2024 – Motion Requesting the Court to Withdraw Its Order of December 5, 2024
37. December 13, 2024 – Motion to Cancel the December 20, 2024 Unlawfully-Scheduled Hearing
38. December 17, 2024 – The Court Must Reject/Strike From the Record the PUCT’s Unlawful Filings

39. December 19, 2024 – Motion to Reconsider the Court’s Orders of December 17, 2024
40. December 20, 2024 – Plaintiff’s Objections to the Court’s Requirements Delivered Via Email Motion Requesting the Court to Decide Discovery Matters on Submission
41. December 20, 2024 – Motion for Clarifying Order on Denying Transfer to the 15th Court of Appeals Under APA § 2001.176(c)
42. December 20, 2024 – Motion for Clarifying Order on Granting the MUD’s Rule 12 Motion
43. December 20, 2024 – Motion for Clarifying Order Regarding Transfer of Venue
44. December 23, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Amended Original Petition Initiating Judicial Review Pursuant to APA § 2001.176
45. December 26, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to the PUCT’s and MUD’s Briefing Schedule
46. December 30, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Discovery Request to the MUD Regarding David Vu’s November 27, 2024 Declaration
47. December 30, 2024 – Plaintiffs’ Discovery Request to MUD’s Ratepayer David VU
48. January 3, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Discovery Request to the MUD Regarding the Rate Order, Meeting Minutes, and Other Matters
49. January 6, 2025 – Defendant MUD Sued the Texas Office of the Attorney General to Block the Disclosure of Public Information
50. January 28, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Written Submission The PUCT’s Unconditional Obligation to Disclose Evidence
51. January 28, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Written Submission The PUCT’s Unconditional Obligation to Disclose Evidence
52. February 5, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion Regarding the Court’s Unlawful Attempt to Redefine the Parties to the Case or the Identities of Such Parties
53. February 5, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel David Vu’s Disclosure of Evidence
54. February 5, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to the Court’s Letter of February 4, 2025; The Letter Emphasizes Continued Bias, Prejudice, Arbitrariness, Indifference, Injustice, Abuse of Discretion, and Disregard for the Law
55. March 5, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Remote Appearance at the March 13, 2025 Hearing
56. March 13, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Exhibit List for the March 13, 2025 Hearing
57. March 26, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion Regarding the Court’s Order of March 13, 2025
58. March 31, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Recuse Judge Maya Guerra Gamble
59. April 2, 2025 – Discovery Request to Judge Maya Guerra Gamble in Relation to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Recuse
60. April 2, 2025 – Letter to Presiding Judge Waldrip – Recusal of Judge Maya Guerra Gamble of Travis County 459th District Court
61. April 3, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Request for an Order on Discovery in Recusal Matter
62. April 7, 2025 – Complaint – Persistent violations committed at the Travis County 459th District Court comprised of Judge Maya Guerra Gamble, Assistant Shannon Matusek-Steele, Briefing Attorney Keri Ward
63. April 10, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Request to the Regional Presiding Judge Setting A Deadline for Defendants’ Responses to the Motion to Recuse
64. April 14, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Request for Permission to Appeal Under TRCP 168
65. April 18, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Motion Regarding the Trial Court’s Obligations
66. May 16, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Second Motion to Transfer Venue under TRCP 257

67. June 2, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to Defendant MUD’s Response on Transfer of Venue
68. June 2, 2025 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to Defendant PUCT’s Response on Transfer of Venue
69. January 12, 2026 – Complaint: Following Our March 31, 2025 Motion to Recuse Judge Maya Guerra Gamble and Our April 6, 2025 Complaint to the County Judge/Administrator, Court Administrator, and Local Administrative Judge, Case No. D-1-24-00604 Was Unjustifiably and Unlawfully Put on Indefinite Hold
70. January 20, 2026 – Plaintiffs’ Response to the Regional Presiding Judge’s January 16, 2026 Order
71. January 20, 2026 – Plaintiffs’ Motion in Relation to Court Order 751579.
72. February 3, 2026 – A January 27, 2026 Notice Received from the District Clerk’s Office
73. February 3, 2026 – Continued Violations by Trial Judge Maya Guerra Gamble and Her Staff
74. February 11, 2026 – The District Clerk’s Office Delays Our Filings by Eight Days

The Court finds that the Plaintiff has not requested a hearing on any of his pleadings.

The Court finds that on December 4, 2024 and March 13, 2025 hearings, requested by the Defendants, were held.

The Court finds that Plaintiff failed to appear at those hearings although he was duly and properly noticed.

The Court further finds that the Plaintiff is a non-attorney purporting to represent 92 plaintiffs.

On December 5, 2024, this Court ordered that the Plaintiff was prohibited from filing any motion, pleading, or other document with the court purporting to represent any other individual or entity. The Court further ordered Plaintiff to amend his petition by December 20, 2024 in accordance with that order.

The Court further finds that Plaintiff filed an Amended Original Petition Initiating Judicial Review Pursuant to APA § 2001.176 that is not in compliance with its December 5, 2024 Order.

In Plaintiff’s Motion in Relation to Court Order 751579, filed on January 20, 2026, Plaintiff states: “only when the trial court complies with -b and -c above, will the plaintiffs remotely take part in an audio/video hearing.”


IT IS ORDERED that the Court will not withdraw Order 751579, issue an order or letter that defines the parties as listed in the Register of Action, or detail the purpose of the February 26, 2026 hearing and what the "show cause" is in relation to.

The Court finds that it has no choice but to consider Plaintiffs' Motion in Relation to Court Order 751579 as a nonsuit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that this case is now dismissed.

This order disposes of all claims and parties and is a final and appealable Order.

SIGNED on February 18, 2024



Maya Guerra Gamble
Judge Presiding